



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,437	06/23/2003	Paul C. Coffin	100202247-1	7975
22879	7590 09/12/200		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT	PACKARD COMP	FOX, CHARLES A		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD			ARTIBUT	0.1000 200 1000
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			3652	
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/601,437	COFFIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
<b></b>	Charles A. Fox	3652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	√.  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju	<u>ine 2006</u> .				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19-27</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18 and 28</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 29 is/are objected to.	- da-dian vasvinamant				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	· ·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	<u>_</u>				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/601,437

Art Unit: 3652

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 15, 2006 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 18 recites the limitation "said gear rack on said carriage" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears this claim should depend from claim 17 and not claim 15, but as that is not clear the claim can not be treated on the merits at this time. Clarification is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Neil et al. O'Neil et al. US 4,812,629 discloses a media storage system comprising:

a lift system with at least one guide track (42) along a first displacement path;

a carriage (40) having a transfer shaft (46), said transfer shaft aligned along a second displacement path;

said transfer shaft operatively associated with said first guide shaft to move said carriage along said first displacement path;

a picker (35) slidably mounted on said transfer shaft for movement through said first and second displacement paths;

a drive motor mounted on said picker for moving it through said second displacement path.

## Response to Amendment

The amendments to the claims filed on June 15, 2006 have been entered into the record.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-17 and 19-27 are allowed. Claims 1 and 25 have limitations dealing with the picker sliding on the drive member for moving the carriage in a first displacement path, which is not taught by the closest prior art of O'Neil et al.

Regarding claim 12 O'Neil does not teach or suggest that the transfer shaft rotatably engage the guide track to move the carriage along the first displacement path.

Claim 29 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The closest prior art of O'Neil does not teach or suggest using zero pith threads on the transfer shaft.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon, but considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is: Lee 1996, Luffel et al. 2004, Hext et al. 2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,437

Art Unit: 3652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-6923. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-4:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vmm 6/204 9/5/06 Charles A. Fox

Examiner

Art Unit 3652